

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,928	02/20/2002	Isreal Hicks	HICKS-1-1002	2184	
7.	590 05/19/2003				
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM PLLC			EXAMINER		
816 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104			CHAN, K	O HUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3632		
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/081,928	HICKS, ISREAL			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Korie H. Chan	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F	ebruary 2003 .				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	\$53 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
	aniner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (I) (O)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	1)-(a) or (t).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. have been most ad				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		- N.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action f	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment(s)	. ,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Downing et al'176. Downing discloses an elongated strip of material having a first end (24) suitably bent and arranged to engage a horizontal support member (figure 2), a second end bent (34) capable of engaging a tire, and the middle section (28, 32, 36) disposed between the first end and second end and of suitable length capable of holding a tire in an approximately vertical orientation.

Applicant's intended usage for a vehicle hoist or tire are not accorded with patentable weight. Downing's strip is capable of being supported on a horizontal member of a hoist and to support a tire.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,928

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch'585 in view of Downing'176 and Kapp'029. Lynch discloses a hanger for use with a vehicle hoist having a horizontal support member (3 and 18) comprising an elongated strip of material (6) having a first bend end (25) arranged to engage the horizontal member (18), a second bend end (23 and 24) arranged to engage a tireshaped article (30), and a middle section (6) disposed between the first end and second end and of suitable length to hold the tire-shape article in an approximately vertical orientation. Lynch, however, does not show the first bend end as part of the single elongated strip of material and that the bend portion is for suspending tires. To make a hanger of a single strip is notoriously old and well-known in the art as demonstrated by Downing'176. It has the advantage of ease in manufacturing as in reducing the number of parts. Kapp teaches a tire support where the first bend end (6) for engaging the horizontal member is of one piece with the strip (5) locked by bolts (8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the first bent end of Lynch such that it is of bend from the elongated strip as demonstrated by Kapp for the purpose of forming a single piece strip to facilitate ease in manufacturing as taught by Downing and also to have Lynch's second bent end to support a tire as taught by Kapp.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,928

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The various cited arts demonstrates pivotable hooks and some additional tire hangers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Les Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Korie H. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632 Page 5

khc May 15, 2003